arXiv:1002.4465v1 [math.AC] 24 Feb 2010

HOMOLOGY, MIXED MULTIPLICITIES AND FIBER CONES

CLARE D'CRUZ* AND ANNA GUERRIERI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the Hilbert coefficients the fiber cone. We also compare the depths of the fiber cone and the associated graded ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper we will assume that $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a local ring of dimension d with infinite residue field. Let I be an ideal in $(R, \mathfrak{m})$, then the blowing up of Spec R with center $V(I)$ is the morphism $Proj\ R[It] \longrightarrow Spec\, R$ with exceptional divisor $Proj(R[It] \otimes_R R/I)$ and the fiber of $\mathfrak{m}$ is $Spec\ (R[It] \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m})$. The ring $R(I) := R[It] = \oplus_{n \geq 0} I^n t^n$ is Rees ring, $G(I) := \oplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/I^{n+1}$ is the associated graded ring. We call $F(I) := \oplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/\mathfrak{m}I^n$ is the fiber cone. All these rings are called the blow-up rings. The Rees ring and the associated graded ring have been studied in great detail. Of recent interest is the fiber cone. Let I_1 and I_2 be ideals in $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ We call $F_{I_1}(I_2) := \oplus_{n \geq 0} I_2^n/I_1 I_2^n$ is the fiber cone of I_2 with respect to I_1. We are interested in the Hilbert coefficients of $F_{I_1}(I_2)$ and its depth.

Interest in the the Hilbert coefficients of an $\mathfrak{m}$-primay ideal began with the work of Northcott [No] and Narita [Na]. Later Sally ([S1], [S2]) realised that the depth of the $G(\mathfrak{m})$ played a major role in the study of the Hilbert coefficients of $\ell(R/\mathfrak{m}^n)$. These results were gereralised to any $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals by the second author in her thesis [A]. She use the Koszul complex of the Rees ring to obtain several interesting results on the depth of the associated graded ring and its relation with the Hilbert coefficients. T. Marley and A. Huckaba subsequently constructed a complex which they called the modified Koszul complex via which they generalized the complex obtained in [A] and could get some more interesting results [HM].

The Hilbert coefficients of the fiber cone has been of interest recently. Very little is known about the Hilbert coefficients of the fiber cone. In [DV1] and [DV2] we were able to obtain the Hilbert series of the fiber cone in some special cases. Later in [JV1], [JV2] and [JPV] the first Hilbert coefficient and the Hilbert series in some special cases was obtained. In all these papers it was evident that if the associated graded ring had high depth then so did the fibercone. In [DP], the first author and T. Puthenpurakal, obtained some relations among the first few coefficients, under certain conditions. In this paper we investigate the remaining coefficients. Recently Rossi and Valla [RV] obtained a few interesting results for the fibercone of a I-good filtration of a module.

Our approach towards the study of the fiber cone is via the bigraded filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}\}_{n_1 \geq 0, n_2 \geq 0}$ where $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{I_1^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{I_2^n\}_{n \geq 0}$) is I_1 (resp. I_2) good filtration. Let $\mathbf{x}_{1k_1} = x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1k_1} \in I_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_{2k_2} = x_{21}, \ldots, x_{2k_2} \in I_2$. We construct a bigraded complex which we will denote by $C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))$.

This complex is obtained using the mapping cone construction in a similar way as in [HM]. The beauty of this construction is that by specializing either the ideals or the exponents we are able to recover results simultaneously for the associated graded ring as well as the fiber cone. Thus we have a single complex which can give information on both the graded rings.

Using the bigraded filtration we obtain Huneke's fundamental lemma for two ideals. This was obtained first by Huneke for an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal in a two dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ [Hun] and was generalised to $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in a d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring in [Huc]. In [JV1], this result was generalised for the function $\ell(R/I_1 I_2^n)$, where I_1 and I_2 are m-primary ideals in a two dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and I_1 is an ideal containing I_2. In section three we obtain Huneke's fundamental lemma for any any two $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in a d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. As a consequence we are able to obtain the Huneke's fundamental lemma for the fibercone $F_{I_1}(I_2)$.

In this paper we are also able to obtain a formula for all the Hilbert coefficients in terms of lengths of homologies of $C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{21}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))$. We give an upper bound on the first Hilbert coefficient and describe when the upper bound is attained.

An interesting result which plays an important role in the Cohen-Macaulayness of blowup rings is the well known result of Goto and Shimoda which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the Rees ring to be Cohen-Macaulay [GS]. From their result it follows that if $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and the Rees ring is Cohen-Macaulay, then so is the associated graded ring. A natural question to ask is whether the depth of the fiber cone is related with the depth of the other blowup rings. In this paper we show that the vanishing of the homologies of the complex $h_i(C(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))$, $\operatorname{depth}\ G(I_2)$ and $\operatorname{depth}\ F_{I_1}(I_2)$ are inter-related.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section two describe the complex $C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{21}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))$ and develop several interesting consequences. In Section three prove the Huneke's fundamental lemma and describe the first Hilbert coefficients of the fiber cone. In section four we describe the remaining fiber coefficients. In section five we give a relation discuss the vanishing of homologies of the complex $C(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))$, the depth of the fiber cone and the associated graded ring.

The results in this paper can be used to compare depths of blowup rings of contracted ideals in a regular local ring of dimension two. We can also compare the depth of the associated graded ring and ideals of minimal mixed multiplicity ([D1], [D2]).

Acknowledgements The first author is grateful to J. Verma for motivating the topic. The first author also wishes to thank Università di L'Aquila, for local hospitality and Progetto INDAM-GNSAGA for financial support during the preparation of this work.

2. THE KOSZUL COMPLEX

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and let I_1 and I_2 be ideals of R. Let $\mathcal{F}_i = \{I_i^n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $i = 1, 2$ be I_i-good filtrations and let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}\}_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0}$. Let $\mathbf{x}_{1k} : x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1k_1} \in I_1$ and let $\mathbf{x}_{2k} : x_{21}, \ldots, x_{2k_2} \in I_2$. We construct the bigraded complex: $C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))$ as follows:

Using the concept of mapping cylinder we can construct the following complexes ([HM]):

$$C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathcal{F}_1, (n_1, n_2)) : 0 \to \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-k_1} I_2^{n_2}} \to \cdots \to \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-i} I_2^{n_2}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{i}} \to \cdots \to \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_2}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{1}} \to \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}} \to 0 \tag{1}$$

$$C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}_2, (n_1, n_2)) : 0 \to \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2-k_2}} \to \cdots \to \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2-i}}\right)^{\binom{k_2}{i}} \to \cdots \to \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2-1}}\right)^{\binom{k_2}{1}} \to \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}} \to 0 \tag{2}$$

We also have the mapping of complexes: $.x_{21} : C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2 - 1)) \longrightarrow C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-k_1} I_2^{n_2-1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, ".x_{21}"'] & \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-k_1+1} I_2^{n_2-1}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{k_1-1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, ".x_{21}"'] & \cdots \arrow[r] & \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-2} I_2^{n_2-1}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{2}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, ".x_{21}"'] & \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_2-1}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, ".x_{21}"'] & \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2-1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, ".x_{21}"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-k_1} I_2^{n_2}} \arrow[r] & \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-k_1+1} I_2^{n_2}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{k_1-1}} \arrow[r] & \cdots \arrow[r] & \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-2} I_2^{n_2}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{2}} \arrow[r] & \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_2}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{1}} \arrow[r] & \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

The mapping cylinder of these two complexes is the following complex which we denote by $C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, x_{21}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))$ and is of the form:

$$0 \to \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-k_1} I_2^{n_2-1}} \to \cdots \to \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-i+1} I_2^{n_2-1}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{i-1}} \oplus \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-i} I_2^{n_2}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{i}} \to \cdots \to \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2-1}} \oplus \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_2}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{1}} \to \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}} \to 0.$$

Inductively, for $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$ we get the complex which we denote by $C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))$:

$$0 \to \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-k_1} I_2^{n_2-k_2}} \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{j=0}^{i} \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-i+j} I_2^{n_2-j}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{i-j}\binom{k_2}{j}} \to \cdots \to \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}} \to 0. \tag{3}$$

Remark 2.1. *Note that if $k_1 = 0$, then,*

$$C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2)) = C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2)).$$

We have the short exact sequence of complexes:

$$0 \to C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2,k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2)) \to C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2,k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2)) \to C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2,k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2 - 1))[-1] \to 0 \tag{4}$$

and the sequence of homologies:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \to \quad & H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) \to \quad H_{i-1}(C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2 - 1))) \\ \to H_{i-1}(C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) \to \quad & H_{i-1}(C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) \to \quad \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let I_1 and I_2 be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of R. Let $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$ with $k_1 + k_2 \geq 1$. Let $\mathbf{x}_{1k_1} \in I_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_{2k_2} \in I_2$ be a superficial sequence for I_1 and I_2 which is a regular sequence. Then*

(1) *For all* $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $H_0(C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) \cong \dfrac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} + (\mathbf{x}_{1,k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})}$.

(2) *For all* $n_1 \geq 1$ *and* $n_2 \geq 1$, $H_1(C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) \cong \dfrac{(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}) \cap I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}}{\mathbf{x}_{1k_1} I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_2} + \mathbf{x}_{2k_2} I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2-1}}$.

(3) *For all* $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $H_{k_1+k_2}(C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) \cong \dfrac{(I_1^{n_1-k_1+1} : (\mathbf{x}_{1k_1})) \cap (I_2^{n_2-k_2+1} : (\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}))}{I_1^{n_1-k_1} I_2^{n_2-k_2}}$.

Proof. (1) and (3) are easy to verify. We prove (2). Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1 &= \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_2}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{1}} \oplus \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2-1}}\right)^{\binom{k_2}{1}} \to \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}} \to 0 \\
\psi_2 &= \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-2} I_2^{n_2}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{2}} \oplus \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_2-1}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{1}\binom{k_2}{1}} \oplus \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2-2}}^{\binom{k_2}{2}} \longrightarrow \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_2}}\right)^{\binom{k_1}{1}} \oplus \left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2-1}}\right)^{\binom{k_2}{1}}
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\frac{\text{Ker } \psi_1}{\text{Im} \psi_2} \cong \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}) \cap I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}}{\mathbf{x}_{1k_1} I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_2} + \mathbf{x}_{2k_2} I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}}.$$

Put $x_i = x_{1i}, 1 \leq i \leq k_1$ and $x_{j+i} = x_{2j}, 1 \leq j \leq k_2$. Consider that map

$$\phi : (Ker\ \psi_1) \longrightarrow \frac{(x_1, \ldots, x_{k_1+k_2}) \cap I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}}{(x_1 \ldots x_{k_1}) I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_2} + (x_{k_1+1}, \ldots, x_{k_1+k_2}) I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}}$$

defined by $\phi(a'_1, \ldots, a'_{k_1+k_2}) = (x_1 a_1 + \cdots + x_{k_1+k_2} a_{k_1+k_2})'$ where primes denote the respective residue classes.

Claim: There exist elements $b_1, \ldots, b_{k_1} \in I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_1}$, $b_{k_1+1}, \ldots, b_{k_1+k_2} \in I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2-1}$ and elements $r_{ij}, r_{ji} \in R$ such that

$$a_i = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j r_{ji} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{k_1+k_2} x_j r_{ij} \tag{6}$$

and equations

$$\sum_{k=i+1}^{k_1+k_2} x_k \left[a_k + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j r_{jk} \right] = \sum_{k=i+1}^{k_1+k_2} x_k b_k \tag{7}$$

where the terms for which the subscripts are negative are zero.

We prove by induction on i. Let i = 1. Since $(a'_1, \ldots, a'_{k_1+k_2}) \in Ker\ \psi_1$, there exist elements $b_1, \ldots, b_{k_1} \in I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_2}$ and elements $b_{k_1+1}, \ldots, b_{k_1+k_2}$ such that

$$x_1 a_1 + \cdots + x_{k_1+k_2} a_{k_1+k_2} = x_1 b_1 + \cdots + x_{k_1+k_2} b_{k_1+k_2}. \tag{8}$$

Then

$$x_1(a_1 - b_1) \in (x_2, \ldots, x_{k_1+k_2}).$$

Since $x_1, \ldots, x_{k_1+k_2}$ is a regular sequence in R there exist elements $r_{1j} \in R$ such that

$$a_1 = b_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{k_1+k_2} x_j r_{1j}. \tag{9}$$

Substituting (9) in (8) we get

$$x_1 \left[b_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{k_1+k_2} x_j r_{1j} \right] + x_2 a_2 + \ldots + x_{k_1+k_2} a_{k_1+k_2} \quad = \quad x_1 b_1 + \ldots + x_{k_1+k_2} b_{k_1+k_2}. \tag{10}$$

which gives

$$\sum_{j=2}^{k_1+k_2} x_j \left[x_1 r_{1j} + x_j a_j \right] = \sum_{j=2}^{k_1+k_2} x_j b_j.$$

This proves the claim for $i = 1$.

Now suppose $i > 1$ and that the claim is true for i. Then by induction hypothesis we have

$$\sum_{k=i+1}^{k_1+k_2} x_k \left[a_k + \sum_{j=1}^{i} x_j r_{jk} \right] = \sum_{k=i+1}^{k_1+k_2} x_k b_k. \tag{11}$$

Hence

$$x_{i+1} \left[a_{i+1} - b_{i+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{i} x_j r_{j,i+1} \right] + \sum_{k=i+2}^{k_1+k_2} x_k \left[a_k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j r_{jk} \right] = \sum_{k=i+2}^{k_1+k_2} x_k b_k. \tag{12}$$

Therefore

$$x_{i+1} \left[a_{i+1} - b_{i+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{i} x_j r_{j,i+1} \right] \in (x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{k_1+k_2}). \tag{13}$$

Since $x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{k_1+k_2}$ is a regular sequence, there exists elements $r_{i+1,j} \in R$, $i+2 \leq j \leq k_1+k_2$ such that

$$a_{i+1} - b_{i+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{i} x_j r_{j,i+1} = \sum_{j=i+2}^{k_1+k_2} x_j r_{i+1,j}. \tag{14}$$

Substituting (14) in (12) we get

$$x_{i+1} \left[\sum_{j=i+2}^{k_1+k_2} x_j r_{i+1,j} \right] + \sum_{k=i+2}^{k_1+k_2} x_k \left[a_k + \sum_{j=1}^{i} x_j r_{jk} \right] = \sum_{k=i+2}^{k_1+k_2} x_k b_k. \tag{15}$$

The left side of the above equation is:

$$\sum_{k=i+2}^{k_1+k_2} x_k \left[a_k + \sum_{j=1}^{i} x_j r_{jk} \right]. \tag{16}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

We now describe the vanishing of some of these homologies.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let I_1 and I_2 be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of R. Let k_1 and k_2 be non-negative integers with $k_1 + k_2 \geq 1$. Let $x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1k_1} \in I_1$ and $x_{21}, \ldots, x_{2k_2} \in I_2$. such that $\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}$ is a regular sequence which is superficial for I_1 and I_2.*

1. *Let $k_1 = 0$ Then for all $i \geq 1$ and for all $n_2 \gg 0$, $H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) = 0$.*
2. *Let $k_1 = 1$ and $k_2 \geq 1$. Then for all $i \geq 2$ and for all $n_2 \gg 0$, $H_i(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) = 0$.*
3. *Let $k_1, k_2 \geq 2$. For all $i \geq 1$, $H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{1\ k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2\ k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) = 0$ if $n_1 \gg 0$ and $n_2 \gg 0$.*

Proof. Let $k_1 = 0$ and $k_2 = 1$. Then by Theorem 2.2(3)

$$H_1(C(x_1, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) \quad \cong \quad \frac{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} : (x_1)}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2 - 1}}.$$

Since x_1 is regular and superficial for $\mathcal{F}$, $n_2 \gg 0$, $I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} : (x_1) = I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2-1}$. Hence $H_1(C(x_1, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) = 0$ for all $n_2 \gg 0$.

Let $k_2 > 1$ and assume that (1) is true for $k_1 - 1$. We have the exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to C(\mathbf{x}_{2\ k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2)) \to C(\mathbf{x}_{2\ k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2)) \to C(\mathbf{x}_{2\ k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2 - 1))[-1] \to 0$$

gives rise to the sequence:

$$\begin{array}{rclcl} \cdots & \to & H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) & \to & H_{i-1}(C(\mathbf{x}_{2\ k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2 - 1))) \\ \to H_{i-1}(C(\mathbf{x}_{2\ k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) & \to & H_{i-1}(C(\mathbf{x}_{2\ k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) & \to & \cdots \end{array} \tag{17}$$

Put $i = 1$ in the complex (17). For $n_2 \gg 0$ we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to H_1(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) \to H_0(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1 - 1, n_2))) \to H_0(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2)) \to 0 \tag{18}$$

since $H_1(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) = 0$ for all $n_2 \geq 0$.

By Theorem 2.2 and the sequence (18) we have

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1(C(\mathbf{x}_{2\ k_1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) \longrightarrow \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2-1} + (\mathbf{x}_{2\ k_2-1})} \longrightarrow \frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} + (\mathbf{x}_{2\ k_2-1})} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ denote the filtration $\mathcal{F}/(\mathbf{x}_{2\ k_2-1})$. Let $\overline{x_{2\ k_2}}$ denote the image of $x_{2\ k_2}$ in $R/(\mathbf{x}_{2\ k_2-1})$. Then by the case $k_2 = 1$, $H_1(C(\overline{x_{2\ k_2}}, \overline{\mathcal{F}}, (n_1, n_2))) = 0$ for all $n_2 \gg 0$. If $i > 1$, then by induction on k_2, for all $i > 1$, $H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{2\ k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) = 0$ for all $n_2 \gg 0$. Hence from (17), $H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{2\ k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) = 0$ for all $n_2 \gg 0$. This proves (1).

Now let $k_1 = 1$. Now if $i = 1$ and if $n_1 \gg 0$, then once again by the argument similar to (1), we can show that for all $n_1, n_2 \gg 0$, $H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1} x_{21}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) = 0$. Let $i > 1$. Consider

$$0 \longrightarrow C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2)) \longrightarrow C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2)) \longrightarrow C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1 - 1, n_2))[-1] \longrightarrow 0.$$

This gives rise to the exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) \to H_i(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}\mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) \to H_{i-1}(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1 - 1, n_2))) \to \cdots$$

By the previous case $H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))) = H_{i-1}(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1 - 1, n_2))) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$ and for all $n_2 \gg 0$. This proves (2).

(3) follows by induction on k_1. □

Notation 2.4.

$$\begin{aligned}
h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(n_1, n_2) &= \ell\left(H_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))\right)\\
h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1,}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(n_1, *) &= \sum_{n_2 \geq 0} h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(n_1, n_2)\\
h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(*, n_2) &= \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(n_1, n_2)\\
h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}) &= \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1} . \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(n_1)\\
&= \sum_{n_2 \geq 0} h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(n_2)\\
h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1})(n_1, n_2) &= \ell\left(H_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))\right)\\
h_i(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(n_1, n_2) &= \ell\left(H_i(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))\right)
\end{aligned}$$

The complex $C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathcal{F}, (n_1, n_2))$ satisfies a certain rigidity. The rigidity condition was proved for the complex $C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (0, n_2))$ was proved in [HM, Lemma 3.4]. The same proof can be adopted to show the rigidity of the complex $C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))$ and $C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $i \geq 1$ and $k_2 \geq 1$. Suppose $H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))) = 0$ for all $n_2 \geq 0$. Then $H_j(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))) = 0$ for all $j > i$ and $n_2 \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $k_2 = 1$, there is nothing to prove. Hence we can assume that $k_2 \geq 2$. The proof here is similar to that of [HM, Lemma 3.4] applied to the complex

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \quad &\to \quad H_2(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))) \quad \to \quad H_1(C(x_{2k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2 - 1)))\\
\to \quad H_1(C(x_{2k_2-1}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))) \quad &\to \quad H_1(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))) \quad \to \quad \cdots .
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *Let $i, k_2 \geq 1$. Suppose $H_i(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))) = 0$ for all $n_2 \geq 0$. Then $H_j(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))) = 0$ for all $j > i$ and $n_2 \geq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose

$$H_i(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))) = 0 \qquad \text{for all } n_2 \geq 0,$$

for some $i \geq 1$. We have the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{llll} & \cdots & \to \; H_{i+1}(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))) & \to \; H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2 - 1))) \\ \to & H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))) & \to \; H_i(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))) = 0 & \end{array} \tag{20}$$

How use Lemma 2.5 and the proof is similar to [HM, Lemma 3.4].

□

The following lemma was proved for the complex $C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (0, n_2))$. Replacing by the above complex $C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))$ (resp. $C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))$) we get Lemma 2.7 (resp, 2.8).

Lemma 2.7. *Let $i \geq 1$ and $k_2 \geq 2$. Then*

$$\sum_{j \geq i} (-1)^{j-i} h_j(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(1, *) \geq 0.$$

Equality holds if and only if for all $j \geq i$, $n_2 \geq 0$ and $0 \leq a \leq k$ we have

$$H_j(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2 - a}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))) = 0.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [HM, Theorem 3.7]. □

Theorem 2.8. *Let $i \geq 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{j \geq i} (-1)^{j-i} h_j(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(1, *) \geq 0.$$

Equality holds if and only if $j \geq i$, $n_2 \geq 0$ and $0 \leq a \leq k$ we have

$$H_j(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2 - a}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))) = 0.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [HM, Theorem 3.7]. □

3. HUNEKE'S FUNDAMENTAL LEMMA AND MULTIPLICITY OF THE FIBER CONE

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of R. It is well known that for $n \gg 0$, the function $H(n) := \ell(R/I^n)$ is a polynomial which we will denote by $P(n)$. For a two dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I, Huneke gave a relation between $\Delta^2[P(n) - H(n)]$ and the multiplicity of the ideal I which is known as Huneke's fundamental ([Hun, Lemma 2.4]). This was generalised for an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal in a d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring in [Huc] and for an Hilbert filtration in [HM]. In [HM] they showed that the function $\Delta^d[P(n) = H(n)]$ and the lengths of the length of homology modules namely $h_i(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(0, n_2)$. In [JV1, Corollary 3.2], Huneke's fundamental lemma was generalised for the fucntion $\ell(R/KI^n)$, where I is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal in a two dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and K is an ideal containing I.

We state the most general form of the Huneke's fundamental lemma for a d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. This generalizes the earlier results.

Notation 3.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$ be a function. Define*

$$\Delta^{k_1,k_2} f(n) := \sum_{i=0}^{k_1+k_2} (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{k_1}{i-j}\binom{k_2}{j} f(n_1-(i-j), n_2-j).$$

Theorem 3.2 (Huneke's fundamental lemma for two ideals)**.** *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let I_1 and I_2 be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals and k_1 and k_2 non-negative integers with $k_1 + k_2 \geq 1$. Let $\mathbf{x}_{1k_1} \in I_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_{2k_2} \in I_2$. Assume that $\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}$ is a Rees-superficial sequence for I_1 and I_2. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta^{k_1,k_2} H_{\mathcal{F}}(n_1,n_2) \\ = \;& \ell\left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_2} I_2^{n_2} + (\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})}\right) - \ell\left(\frac{(\mathbf{x}_{1k_2}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}) \cap I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}}{\mathbf{x}_1 I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_2} + \mathbf{x}_2 I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2-1}}\right) + \sum_{i\geq 2}(-1)^i h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(n_1,n_2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.2 and the complex (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{k_1+k_2} (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{k_1}{i-j}\binom{k_2}{j} H_{\mathcal{F}}(n_1-(i-j), n_2-j) \\ = \;& \sum_{i\geq 0}(-1)^i h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(n_1,n_2) \\ = \;& \ell\left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} + (\mathbf{x}_{1,k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})}\right) - \ell\left(\frac{(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2}) \cap I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}}{\mathbf{x}_{1k_1} I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_2} + \mathbf{x}_{2k_2} I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2-1}}\right) + \sum_{i\geq 2}(-1)^i h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(n_1,n_2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Let I_1 and I_2 be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals and let $\mathcal{F} = I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2}$. It was proved in [**?**] that for large values of r and s, the function $H_{\mathcal{F}}(n_1,n_2) := \ell(R/I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2})$ is given by a polynomial $P_{\mathcal{F}}(n_1,n_2)$ of total degree d in r and s and can be written in the form:

$$P_{\mathcal{F}}(n_1,n_2) = \sum_{i_1+i_2\leq d} e_{i_1 i_2}\binom{n_1+i_1}{i_1}\binom{n_1+i_2}{i_2},$$

where $e_{i_1 i_2}$ are certain integers. When $i_1 + i_2 = d$, we put $e_{i_1 i_2} = e_{i_2}(I_1|I_2)$ for $i_2 = 0, 1, \ldots, d$. In this case these are called the mixed multiplicities of I_1 and I_2. Rees introduced superficial sequence, what is well known as the Rees superficial sequence in order to explain mixed multiplicities. An element $x_1 \in I_1$ is superficial for I_1 and I_2 if for all $n_1 \gg 0$ and for all $n_2 \geq 0$, we have $x_1 \cap I_1^{r_1} I_2^{r_2} = x_1 I_1^{n_1-1} I_2^{n_1}$. A sequence of elements $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{k_1}, x_{k_1+1}, x_{k_1+2}, \ldots, x_{k_1+k_2}$ where $x_1, x_{12}, \ldots, x_{k_1} \in I_1$ and $x_{k_1+1}, x_{k_1+2}, \ldots, x_{k_1+k_2} \in I_2$ is a superficial sequence if $\overline{x_i}$ is superficial for $\overline{I_1}$ and $\overline{I_2}$ where — denotes the image modulo $x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}$.

It is well known that $e_i(I_1|I_2)$ is the multiplicity of a superficial sequence $\mathbf{x}_{1,d-i}, \mathbf{x}_{2,i}$ of I_1 and I_2. In particular, $e_0(I_1|I_2) = e(I_1)$ and $e_d(I_1|I_2) = e(I)$ where $e(.)$ denotes the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity [R1]. The other mixed multiplicities too can be shown to be Hilbert-Samuel multiplicities of certain systems of parameters ([T] and [R2]).

Corollary 3.3 (Huneke's fundamental lemma and the difference function)**.** *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let I_1 and I_2 be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals and k and non-negative integer. Let $\mathbf{x}_{1k} \in I_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_{2.d-k} \in I_2$. Assume that $\mathbf{x}_{1,k}, \mathbf{x}_{2,d-k}$ is a Rees-superficial sequence for I_1 and I_2. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^{k,d-k}\left[P_{\mathcal{F}}(n_1,n_2)-H_{\mathcal{F}}(n_1,n_2)\right] \\ = \ell\left(\frac{I_1^{n_1}I_2^{n_2}}{\mathbf{x}_{1k}I_1^{n_1-1}I_2^{n_2}+\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k}I_1^{n_1}I_2^{n_2-1}}\right)-\sum_{i\geq 2}(-1)^i h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k},\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k})(n_1,n_2).\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Proof. Put $k_1 = k$ and $k_2 = d-k$ in Theorem 3.2. the right hand side of equation (**??**) is

$$\begin{aligned}&\ell\left(\frac{R}{I_1^{n_1}I_2^{n_2}+(\mathbf{x}_{1k},\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k})}\right)-\ell\left(\frac{(\mathbf{x}_{1k},\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k})\cap I_1I_2^{n_2}}{\mathbf{x}_{1k}I_2^{n_2}+\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k}I_1I_2^{n_2-1}}\right)+\sum_{i\geq 2}(-1)^i h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k},\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k})(1,n_2) \\ = {} & \ell\left(\frac{R}{(\mathbf{x}_{1k},\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k})}\right)-\ell\left(\frac{I_1^{n_1}I_2^{n_2}+(\mathbf{x}_{1,k},\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k})}{(\mathbf{x}_{1,k_1},\mathbf{x}_{2k_2})}\right) \\ & -\ell\left(\frac{(\mathbf{x}_{1k_1},\mathbf{x}_{2k_2})\cap I_1^{n_1}I_2^{n_2}}{\mathbf{x}_{1k}I_2^{n_2}+\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k}I_1I_2^{n_2-1}}\right)+\sum_{i\geq 2}(-1)^i h_i(\mathbf{x}_{1k},\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k})(1,n_2) \\ = {} & e(\mathbf{x}_{1k},\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k})-\ell\left(\frac{I_1I_2^{n}}{\mathbf{x}_{1k}I_2^{n_2}+\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k}I_1I_2^{n_2-1}}\right)+\sum_{i\geq 2}(-1)^i h_i(x_1,\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k})(1,n_2) \\ = {} & \Delta^{k,d-k}P_{\mathcal{F}}(n_1,n_2)-\ell\left(\frac{I_1I_2^{n}}{\mathbf{x}_{1k}I_2^{n_2}+\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k}I_1I_2^{n_2-1}}\right)+\sum_{i\geq 2}(-1)^i h_i(x_1,\mathbf{x}_{2,d-k})(1,n_2).\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

□

In [JV2, Proposition 2.5] a version of Huneke's fundamental lemma was obtained for the fiber cone $F_{I_1}(I_2)$ of dimension two where I_2 is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal and $I_1 \supseteq I_2$. We obtain a generalization for the fiber cone $F_{I_1}(I_2)$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ and for any two $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals I_1 and I_2 (see Theorem 3.7).

It is well known that for any two $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in a local ring $(R,\mathfrak{m})$, the function $H(F_{I_1}(I_2),n) := H_{\mathcal{F}}(1,n)-H_{\mathcal{F}}(0,n) = \ell(I_1^n/I_1I_2^n)$ is a polynomial say $P(F_{I_1}(I_2),n)$ of degree $d-1$ which can be written in the form

$$P(F_{I_1}(I_2),n) = f_{0,I_1}\binom{n+d-1}{d-1}-f_{1,I_1}\binom{n+d-2}{d-2}+\cdots+(-1)^{d-1}f_{d-1,I_1}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *[Huneke's fundamental Lemma and mixed multiplicities] Let I_1 and I_2 be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in a be a Cohen-Macaulay ring $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ of dimension $d \geq 2$.*

(1) *Let $x_1 \in I_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_{2,d-1} \in I_2$. Assume that $x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}$ is a Rees superficial sequence for I_1 and I_2. Then*

$$\Delta^{d-1}\left[H(F_{I_1}(I_2),n)\right] = e_{d-1}(I_1|I_2)-\ell\left(\frac{I_1I_2^n}{x_1I_2^n+\mathbf{x}_{2\ d-1}I_1I_2^{n-1}}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{d}(-1)^i h_i(x_1,\mathbf{x}_{2\ d-1})(1,n). \tag{23}$$

(2) *Assume that* $\mathbf{x}_{2d} \in I_2$ *is a Rees superficial sequence of* I_1 *and* I_2. *Then*

$$\Delta^{d-1}\left[H(F_{I_1}(I_2),n)\right] = e(I_2) - \ell\left(\frac{I_1I_2^n}{x_{2d}I_2^n + \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}I_1I_2^{n-1}}\right) + \sum_{i=2}^{d}(-1)^i h_i(x_{2d},\mathbf{x}_{2,d-1})(1,n). \tag{24}$$

Proof. We first prove (23). Put $n_1 = 1$, $n_2 = n$, $k = 1$ in Corollary 3.3. The left hand side of (21) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta^{1,d-1}\left[P_{\mathcal{F}}(1,n) - H_{\mathcal{F}}(1,n_2)\right] \qquad (25)\\ =\;& e_{d-1}(I_1|I_2) - \sum_{i=0}^{d}(-1)^i\sum_{j=0}^{i}\binom{1}{i-j}\binom{d-1}{j}H_{\mathcal{F}}(1-(i-j),n-j)\\ =\;& e_{d-1}(I_1|I_2) - \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}(-1)^i\binom{d-1}{i}H_{\mathcal{F}}(1,n-i) + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}(-1)^i\binom{d-1}{i}H_{\mathcal{F}}(0,n-i)\\ =\;& e_{d-1}(I_1|I_2) - \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}(-1)^i\binom{d-1}{i}H(F_{I_1}(I_2),n-i)\\ =\;& e_{d-1}(I_1|I_2) - \Delta^{d-1}H(F_{I_1}(I_2),n). \end{aligned}$$

and the right hand side of (21) is

$$\ell\left(\frac{I_1I_2^n}{x_1I_2^n + \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}I_1I_2^{n-1}}\right)\sum_{i\geq 2}(-1)^i h_i(x_1,\mathbf{x}_{2,d-1})(1,n). \tag{26}$$

From (26) and (26) we get (23).

We first prove (24). Put $n_1 = 1$, $n_2 = n$, $k = 0$ in Corollary 3.3. The left hand side of (21) is

$$\Delta^{1,d-1}\left[P_{\mathcal{F}}(1,n) - H_{\mathcal{F}}(1,n_2)\right] = e(I_2) - \Delta^{d-1}H(F_{I_1}(I_2),n). \tag{27}$$

and the right hand side of (21) is

$$\ell\left(\frac{I_1I_2^n}{x_{2d}I_2^n + \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}I_1I_2^{n-1}}\right) - \sum_{i\geq 2}(-1)^i h_i(x_{2d},\mathbf{x}_{2,d-1})(1,n). \tag{28}$$

From (27) and (28) we get (23). ☐

Notation 3.5. *We denote*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{I_1}(I_2) &:= \varinjlim_{n} \ell\left(\frac{I_1I_2^n}{x_1I_2^n + \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}I_1I_2^{n-1}}\right)\\ L_{I_1,d}(I_2) &= \varinjlim_{n} \ell\left(\frac{I_1I_2^n}{x_{2d}I_2^n + \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}I_1I_2^{n-1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The following result was proved in [JPV, Theorem 2.9] for the fiber cone $F_{\mathfrak{m}}(I)$. We prove it for the fiber cone $F_{I_1}(I_2)$.

Corollary 3.6. *Let* $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ *be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension* $d \geq 2$. *Let* I_1 *and* I_2 *be* $\mathfrak{m}$*-primary ideals.*

(1) *Let* $x_1 \in I_1$ *and* $x_{2,d-1} \in I_2$. *Assume that* $x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}$ *is a Rees superficial sequence of* I_1 *and* I_2. *Then*

$$f_{0,I_1}(I_2) \quad = \quad e_{d-1}(I_1|I_2) - L_{I_1}(I_2). \tag{29}$$

(2) *Let* $x_{2,d-11}, x_{2,d} \in I_2$ *be a Rees superficial sequence of* I_1 *and* I_2. *Then*

$$f_{0,I_1}(I_2) \quad = \quad e_{d-1}(I_2) - L_{I_1,d}(I_2). \tag{30}$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.3(2) we get $h_i(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2\ d-1})(1,n) = h_i(x_{2d}, \mathbf{x}_{2\ d-1})(1,n) = 0$ for all $n \gg 0$. Hence from Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\overrightarrow{n}} \Delta^{d-1} H(F_{I_1}(I_2), n-i) \\
&= \lim_{\overrightarrow{n}} e_{d-1}(I_1|I_2) - \lim_{\overrightarrow{n}} \ell\left(\frac{I_1 I_2^n}{x_1 I_2^n + \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1} I_1 I_2^{n-1}}\right) = e_{d-1}(I_1|I_2) - L_{I_1}(I_2) \qquad (31)\\
&= \lim_{\overrightarrow{n}} e(I_2) - \lim_{\overrightarrow{n}} \ell\left(\frac{I_1 I_2^n}{x_{2d} I_2^n + \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1} I_1 I_2^{n-1}}\right) = e(I_2) - L_{I_1,d}(I_2). \qquad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

□

We now state and prove the Huneke's fundamental lemma for fiber cones.

Theorem 3.7. *[Huneke's fundamental lemma for the fiber cone] With the assumptions as in Lemma 3.4(1) we have*

$$\Delta^{d-1}\left[P(F_{I_1}(I_2), n) - H(F_{I_1}(I_2), n)\right] = \ell\left(\frac{I_1 I_2^n}{x_1 I_2^n + \mathbf{x}_{2\ d-1} I_1 I_2^{n-1}}\right) - L_{I_1}(I_2) - \sum_{j=2}^{d} (-1)^j h_j(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2\ d-1})(1,n).$$

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 3.4 and Corollary 3.6.

4. HILBERT COEFFICIENTS OF THE FIBER CONE

We now completely relate the Hilbert coefficients $f_{i,I_1}(I_2)$ with the homology modules $h_i(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2\ d-1})(1,n)$. We are able to extend the results on the coefficients of the associated graded ring in [Huc] to the fibercone.

Recall that $H(F_{I_1}(I_2), n)$ is a polynomial in n which we will denote by $P(F_{I_1}(I_2), n)$ and this polynomial can be written in the form

$$P(F_{I_1}(I_2), n) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-1)^i f_{i,I_1} \binom{n+d-1-i}{d-1-i}.$$

The following result is well known. We state it for the state of completeness.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* I_1 *and* I_2 *be be an* $\mathfrak{m}$*-primary ideals in a Cohen-Macaulay ring* $(R, \mathfrak{m})$. *Assume that there exists a sequence* $\mathbf{x}_{2d} \in I_2$ *such that* $\mathbf{x}_{d-1}^o$ *(resp.* $\mathbf{x}_{d-1}^*$*) is a superficial sequence in* $F_{I_1}(I_2)$ *(resp.* $G(I_2)$*). Then for all* $0 \le i \le d-1$,

(1) $\Delta^{d-1-i} P(F_{I_1}(I_2), -1) = (-1)^i f_{i,I_1}(I_2)$.

(2) $\Delta^{d-1-i}P(F_{I_1}(I_2),0) = \displaystyle\sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^j f_{j,I_1}(I_2).$

Proof. The proof can be easily verfied for $d = 1$ and for $d > 1$ and $i = d - 1$.

Now let $d > 1$ and let $i < d - 1$. Let $-$ denote the image in R/x_{21}. By our assumption of x_{21},

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim\ R/x_1 &= \dim\ R - 1\\
\dim\ F_{\overline{I_1}}(\overline{I_2}) &= \dim\ F_{I_1}(I_2) - 1\\
\Delta[P(F_{I_1}(I_2), n)] &= P(F_{\overline{I_1}}(\overline{I_2}), n)\\
f_{i,I_1}(I_2) &= f_{i,\overline{I_1}}(\overline{I_2}), \qquad\qquad \text{for all } i = 0, \dots, d-2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for all $i = 0, \dots, d-2$,

$$\Delta^{d-1-i}P_{I_1}(I_2, -1) = \Delta^{d-2-i}P_{\overline{I}_1}(\overline{I}_2, -1) = (-1)^i f_{i,\overline{I_1}}(\overline{I_2}) = (-1)^i f_{i,I_1}(I_2).$$

This proves(1). The proof of (2) is similar. □

Corollary 4.2. [Huc, Lemma 2.7] *Let $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a function such that $f(n) = 0$ for $n \gg 0$. Then*

(1) $\displaystyle\sum_{n=i-1}^{\infty}\binom{n}{i-1}\Delta^j f(n) = (-1)^i\Delta^{j-i}f(-1).$

(2) $\displaystyle\sum_{n=i}^{\infty}\binom{n-1}{i-1}\Delta^j f(n) = (-1)^i\Delta^{j-i}f(0).$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.7 of [Huc]. □

We now extend Proposition 2.9 of [Huc] to the Hilbert coefficients of the fiber cone.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let I_1 and I_2 be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of R. Then*

(1) $f_{i,I_1}(I_2) = \displaystyle\sum_{n \geq i-1}\binom{n}{i-1}\Delta^{d-1}\left[P(F_{I_1}(I_2), n) - H(F_{I_1}(I_2), n)\right].$

(2) $(-1)^i\displaystyle\sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^j f_{j,I_1}(I_2) + (-1)^{i+1}\ell\left(\frac{R}{I_1}\right) = \sum_{n\geq i}\binom{n-1}{i-1}\Delta^{d-1}\left[P(F_{I_1}(I_2), n) - H(F_{I_1}(I_2), n)\right].$

Proof. Put $j = d - 1$ and $f(n) = P(F_{I_1}(I_2), n) - H(F_{I_1}(I_2), n)$ in Corollary 4.2. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=i-1}^{\infty}\binom{n}{i-1}\Delta^{d-1}\left[P(F_{I_1}(I_2), n) - H(F_{I_1}(I_2), n)\right] &= (-1)^i\Delta^{d-1-i}\left[P(F_{I_1}(I_2), -1) - H(F_{I_1}(I_2), -1)\right]\\
&= (-1)^i\Delta^{d-1-i}\left[P(F_{I_1}(I_2), -1)\right]\\
&= f_{i,I_1}(I_2) \qquad\qquad \text{[by Lemma 4.1(1)]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=i}^{\infty}\binom{n-1}{i-1}\Delta^{d-1}\left[P(F_{I_1}(I_2),n)-H(F_{I_1}(I_2),n)\right] &= (-1)^i\Delta^{d-1-i}\left[P(F_{I_1}(I_2),0)-H(F_{I_1}(I_2),0)\right]\\ &= (-1)^{i+1}\sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^j f_{j,I_1}(I_2)-\ell\left(\frac{R}{I_1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

We now describe the Hilbert coefficeints of the fiber cones in terms of the homology modules $h_i(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2\ d-1})(1,*)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let I_1 and I_2 be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring $(R,\mathfrak{m})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}f_{1,I_1}(I_2) &= f_{0,I_1}(I_2)-\ell\left(\frac{R}{I_1}\right)\\ &\quad+\sum_{n\geq 1}\left[\ell\left(\frac{I_1I_2^n}{x_1I_2^n+\mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}I_1I_2^{n-1})}\right)-L_{I_1}(I_2)\right]-\sum_{j\geq 2}(-1)^j h_j(x_1,\mathbf{x}_{2\ d-1})(1,*)\\ f_{i,I_1}(I_2) &= \sum_{n\geq i-1}\binom{n}{i-1}\left[\ell\left(\frac{I_1I_2^n}{x_1I_2^n+\mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}I_1I_2^{n-1})}\right)-L_{I_1}(I_2)-\sum_{j\geq 2}(-1)^j h_j(x_1,\mathbf{x}_{2\ d-1})(1,n)\right], i\geq 2.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned}f_{1,I_1}(I_2) &= \sum_{n\geq 0}\Delta^{d-1}\left[P(F_{I_1}(I_2),n)-H(F_{I_1}(I_2),n)\right]\\ &= \Delta^{d-1}\left[P(F_{I_1}(I_2),0)-H(F_{I_1}(I_2),0)\right]+\sum_{n\geq 1}\Delta^{d-1}\left[P(F_{I_1}(I_2),n)-H(F_{I_1}(I_2),n)\right]\\ &= f_{0,I_1}(I_2)-\ell\left(\frac{R}{I_1}\right)\\ &\quad+\sum_{n\geq 1}\left[\ell\left(\frac{I_1I_2^n}{x_1I_2^n+\mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}I_1I_2^{n-1})}\right)-L_{I_1}(I_2)\right]-\sum_{n\geq 1}\sum_{i\geq 2}(-1)^i h_i(x_1,\mathbf{x}_{2\ d-1})(1,n)\\ &= f_{0,I_1}(I_2)-\ell\left(\frac{R}{I_1}\right)\\ &\quad+\sum_{n\geq 1}\left[\ell\left(\frac{I_1I_2^n}{x_1I_2^n+\mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}I_1I_2^{n-1})}\right)-L_{I_1}(I_2)\right]-\sum_{i\geq 2}(-1)^i h_i(x_1,\mathbf{x}_{2\ d-1})(1,*).\end{aligned}$$

The third equality follows from Lemma 3.7.

For $j\geq 1$ it follows from Lemma 3.7 and Proposition 4.3. □

We now describe the relation between the different Hilbert coefficeints of the fiber cones and the homology modules $h_i(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2\ d-1})(1,*)$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let I_1 and I_2 be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$. Let $i \geq 2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{i} (-1)^j f_{j,I_1}(I_2) + (-1)^{i+1} \ell\left(\frac{R}{I_1}\right) \\ = &\sum_{n \geq i-1} \binom{n-1}{i-1} \left[\ell\left(\frac{I_1 I_2^n}{x_1 I_2^n + \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1} I_1 I_2^{n-1})} \right) - L_{I_1}(I_2) - \sum_{k \geq 2} (-1)^k h_l(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2\ d-1})(1,n) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. One can show that

$$\sum_{n \geq i} \binom{n-1}{i-1} \Delta^{d-1} \left[P(F_{I_1}(I_2), n) - H(F_{I_1}(I_2), n) \right] = (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{i} (-1)^j f_{j,I_1}(I_2).$$

Now use Lemma 3.7. □

Corollary 4.6. *Let I_1 and I_2 be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$.*

$$f_{1,I_1}(I_2) \quad \leq \quad f_{0,I_1}(I_2) - \ell\left(\frac{R}{I_1}\right) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[\ell\left(\frac{I_1 I_2^n}{x_1 I_2^n + \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1} I_1 I_2^{n-1})} \right) - L_{I_1}(I_2) \right]. \tag{33}$$

If equality holds in (33), then for all $j \geq 2$,

$$f_{j,I_1}(I_2) \quad = \quad \sum_{n \geq j-1} \binom{n}{j-1} \left[\ell\left(\frac{I_1 I_2^n}{x_1 I_2^n + \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1} I_1 I_2^{n-1})} \right) - L_{I_1}(I_2) \right] \tag{34}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{i} (-1)^j f_{j,I_1}(I_2) - \ell\left(\frac{R}{I_1}\right) \quad = \quad \sum_{n \geq i-1} \binom{n-1}{i-1} \left[\ell\left(\frac{I_1 I_2^n}{x_1 I_2^n + \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1} I_1 I_2^{n-1})} \right) - L_{I_1}(I_2) \right] \tag{35}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.8,

$$\sum_{i \geq 2} (-1)^i h_i(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(1, *) \geq 0.$$

Hence by Theorem 1, we get (41). If equality holds in (41), then

$$\sum_{i \geq 2} (-1)^{k-2} h_i(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(1, *) = 0.$$

then by Lemma 2.8, $h_i(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(1,n) = 0$ for all $j \geq 2$ and for all n. Substituting $h_i(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k_2})(1,n) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$ and for all n in Theorem 1 and Theorem 4.4 we get (34) and (35). □

5. VANISHING AND DEPTH OF ASSOCIATED GRADED RING AND FIBER CONE

In this section we are interested in interlinking the depth of fiber cone, the depth of the associated graded ring. This has been studied for ideals of minima mixed multiplicity [DV1], ideals of almost minimal mixed multiplicity [DV2] and for ideals of almost minimal multiplicity [JV2].

The vanishing of the complex $C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n_2))$ plays an important role. Earlier results in [DV1], [DV2] and [JV2]) can easily be recovered from this (see [D1] and [D2]).

Notation 5.1. Let I_1, I_2 be ideals in a ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$. For an element $x \in I_2$, let x^* (resp. x^o) denote the residue class in I_2/I_2^2 (resp. I_2/I_1I_2).

We have the exact sequence of complexes:

$$0 \to K(\mathbf{x}_{2k}^o, F_{I_1}(I_2))(n) \to C(\mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n)) \to C(\mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (0, n)) \to 0 \tag{36}$$

where $K({\mathbf{x}_{2k}}^o, F_{I_1}(I_2))(n)$ is the Koszul complex of the fiber cone with respect to the sequence $\mathbf{x}_{2k}^o = x_{21}^o, \ldots, x_{2k}^o$. Hence we have the sequence:

$$\begin{array}{rrr} \cdots \to & H_{i+1}(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n))) \to & H_{i+1}(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n))) \\ \to \quad H_i(K(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, F_{I_1}(I_2)))(n) \to & H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k_2}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n))) \to & H_i(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n)) \end{array} . \tag{37}$$

Recall that we also have the exact sequence:

$$0 \to C(\mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n)) \to C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n)) \to C(\mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (0, n)) \to 0.$$

and the sequence:

$$\begin{array}{rrr} \cdots \to & H_{i+1}(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n))) \to & H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (0, n))) \\ \to H_i(C(\mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n))) \to & H_i(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n))) \to & \cdots \end{array} \tag{38}$$

Notation 5.2. Let $\gamma(\mathbf{x}^*, G(I_2)) = \text{grade } (x^*, G(I_2))$ (resp. $\gamma(\mathbf{x}^o F_{I_1}(I_2)) = \text{grade } (\mathbf{x}^o, F_{I_1}(I_2)$ denote the depths of the ideals $(\mathbf{x}_{2k}^*)$ (resp. $(\mathbf{x}_{2k}^o)$ in $G(I_2)$ (resp. $F_{I_1}(I_2)$).

Theorem 5.3. *Let I_1 and I_2 be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $x_1 \in I_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_{2,d-1} \in I_2$ be such that $x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}$ is a superficial sequence for 1 copy of I_1 and k copies of I_2. Let*

$$i = \min\{j | H_{d-j}(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n))) \neq 0 \textit{ for some } n\}.$$

Let $g = \gamma(\mathbf{x}_{2k}^*, G(I_2))$.

1. *If $g \leq i - 1$, then $\gamma(x_{2k}^o F_{I_1}(I_2)) \geq g$.*
2. *If $g \geq i$, then $\gamma(\mathbf{x}_k^*, F_{I_1}(I_2)) \geq i$.*

Proof. Suppose $g \leq i - 1$, by [HM, Proposition 3.3],

$$H_{d-1-g+a} C(\mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (o, n)) = 0, \text{for all } a \geq 1 \qquad \text{[[A], [HM]]}. \tag{39}$$

By assumption

$$H_{k-i+a} C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (1, n)) = 0, \qquad \text{for all } a \geq 2.$$

Substituting in (38) we get

$$H_{k-g+a} C(\mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (0, n)) = 0, \qquad \text{for all } a \geq 1. \tag{40}$$

Substituting (39) and (40) in (37) we get

$$H_{k-g+a}(K(\mathbf{x}_{2k}^{o}, F_{I_1}(I_2)))(n) \qquad \text{for all } a \geq 1.$$

Hence $\gamma(x_{2k}^{o}, F_{I_1}(I_2)) \geq g$.

Similarly if $g > i$, then one can show that

$$H_{k-i+a}(K(\mathbf{x}_{2k}, F_{I_1}(I_2)))(n) = 0 \qquad \text{for all } a \geq 1.$$

Hence $\gamma(x_{2k}^{o}, F_{I_1}(I_2)) \geq i$. □

Corollary 5.4. *Let I_1 and I_2 be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$. Let $x_1 \in I_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_{d-1} \in I_2$. Let $x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}$ be a superficial sequence for I_1 and I_2. Suppose*

$$d-2 = \min\{j | H_{d-j}(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (1,n))) \neq 0 \textit{ for some } n\}.$$

If $\gamma(\mathbf{x}_{2k}^{*}, G(I_2)) \geq d-1$, then $\gamma(\mathbf{x}_{2k}^{o} F_{I_1}(I_2)) \geq d-1$.

Proof. Put $k = d$ in Theorem 5.3.

Theorem 5.5. *Let I_1 and I_2 be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$. If*

$$f_{1,I_1}(I_2) = f_{0,I_1}(I_2) - \ell\left(\frac{R}{I_1}\right) + \sum_{n \geq 1}\left[\ell\left(\frac{I_1 I_2^n}{x_1 I_2^n + \mathbf{x}_{2,d-1} I_1 I_2^{n-1})}\right) - L_{I_1}(I_2)\right] \tag{41}$$

and $\gamma(\mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}^{*}, G(I_2)) \geq d-1$ then $\gamma(\mathbf{x}_{2,d-1}^{o} F_{I_1}(I_2)) \geq d-1$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.6,

$$\min\{j | H_{d-j}(C(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{2k}, \mathcal{F}, (1,n))) \neq 0 \text{ for some } n\} \geq d-1.$$

Now apply Theorem 5.3(2). □

REFERENCES

[A] A. Guerrieri: *On the depth of certain graded rings associated to an ideal*, Ph.D. Thesis, (1993) Purdue University U.S.A.

[D1] C. D'Cruz. *On the depth of blowup rings of ideals of minimal mixed multiplicity*, preprint.

[D2] C. D'Cruz *Depth of blowup rings of ideals of almost minimal mixed multiplicity*, preprint.

[DP] C. D'Cruz, T. J. Puthenpurakal. *The Hilbert Coefficients of the fiber cone and the a-invariant of the associated graded ring*, Canad. J. Math. 61(2009), 762-778.

[DV1] C. D'Cruz, K. N. Raghavan, J. K. Verma: *Cohen-Macaulay fiber cones. Commutative algebra, algebraic geometry, and computational methods* (Hanoi, 1996), 233–246, Springer, Singapore, 1999.

[DV2] C. D'Cruz, J. K. Verma: *Hilbert series of fiber cones of ideals with almost minimal mixed multiplicity.* J. Algebra **251** (2002), no. 1, 98–109.

[GS] S. Goto, Shiro; Y. Shimoda: *On the Rees algebras of Cohen-Macaulay local rings.* Commutative algebra (Fairfaex, Va., 1979), pp. 201–231, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **68**, Dekker, New York, 1982.

[Huc] Huckaba, Sam A d-dimensional extension of a lemma of Huneke's and formulas for the Hilbert coefficients. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), no. 5, 1393–1401.

[HM] S. Huckaba and T. Marley: *Hilbert coefficeints and depths of associated graded ring*. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, (1997) **56**, pp 64-76.

[Hun] C. Huneke, Craig, *Hilbert functions and symbolic powers*, Michigan Math. J. 34 (1987), no. 2, 293–318.

[JPV] A. V. Jayanthan, T. J. Puthenpurakal, J. K. Verma: On fiber cones of $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals. Canad. J. Math. 59 (2007), no. 1, 109–126.

[JV1] A. V. Jayanthan, J. K. Verma: *Hilbert coefficients and depth of fiber cones.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **201** (2005), no. 1-3, 97–115.

[JV2] A. V. Jayanthan, J. K. Verma: *Fiber cone of ideals of almost minimal multiplicity* Nagoya Math. J. 177 (2005), 155–179.

[Na] M. Narita, A note on the coefficients of Hilbert characteristic functions in semi-regular local rings. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **59** (1963), 269–275

[No] D. G. Northcott, A note on the coefficients of the abstract Hilbert function J. London Math. Soc. **35** (1960), 209–214.

[R1] D. Rees, *$\mathcal{A}$-Transforms of local rings and a theorem on multiplicities of ideals*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **57** (1961), 8-17.

[R2] D. Rees, *Generalizations of reductions and mixed multiplicities*, J. London Math. Soc. **29** (1984), 397-414.

[RV] M. E. Rossi and G. Valla Hilbert Functions of Filtered Modules, (arXiv:0710.2346).

[S1] J. D. Sally, *Hilbert coefficients and reduction number* 2, J. Algebraic Geom. **1** (1992), 325-333.

[S2] J. D. Sally, *Ideals whose Hilbert function and Hilbert polynomial agree at* $n = 1$, J. Algebra **157**(1993), 534-547.

[T] B. Teissier, *Cycles èvanscents, section planes, et conditions de Whitney, Singularities à Carg'ese*, 1972, Astèrisque **7-8** (1973), 285-362.

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, PLOT H1, SIPCOT IT PARK, SIRUSERI, PADDUR PO, SIRUSERI CHENNAI 603 103, INDIA

E-mail address: `clare@cmi.ac.in`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÁ DI L'AQUILA, 67100 ITALY

E-mail address: `guerran@univaq.it`